arXiv:1510.05256v3 [math.DS] 28 Dec 2015

EXPANDING CONE AND APPLICATIONS TO HOMOGENEOUS DYNAMICS

RONGGANG SHI

Abstract. Let U be a horospherical subgroup of a noncompact simple Lie group H and let A be a maximal split torus in the normalizer of U. We define the expanding cone A_U^+ in A with respect to U and show that it can be explicitly calculated. We prove several dynamical results of translation of U-slice by elements of A_U^+ on finite volume homogeneous space G/Γ where H is a subgroup of G. More precisely, we prove quantitative nonescape of mass and equidistribution of U-slice. If H is a normal subgroup of G and the H action on G/Γ has a spectral gap we prove effective k-equidistribution of U-slice and pointwise equidistribution for one parameter semi-flow in A_U^+ with an error rate. These results are only known before in special cases such as the space of unimodular lattices in Euclidean space. In the paper we formulate expanding cone and dynamical results above in more general setting where H is a semisimple Lie group without compact factors.

1. Introduction

Let H be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors. Let U be the unipotent radical of an absolutely proper parabolic subgroup P of H, where absolutely proper means the projection of P to each simple factor of H is not surjective. Let A be a maximal connected Ad-diagonalizable subgroup of P, i.e. the image of A under the adjoint representation is diagonal under some basis of the Lie algebra of H and A is maximal among all connected subgroups of P with this property. In this paper we define the concept of expanding cone (denoted by A_U^+) in A associated to U and prove some dynamical results for its translation of U-slice on finite volume homogeneous space G/Γ where G is a Lie group containing H and Γ is a lattice (discrete subgroup of G with finite covolume).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A33; Secondary 37C85, 22E40, 17B45.

Key words and phrases. expanding cone, homogeneous dynamics, equidistribution, ergodic theorem.

The author is supported by NSFC (11271278), NSF 0932078 000.

The expanding cone is a generalization of the following example (see §2.3 for the proof) which is widely used in homogeneous dynamics due to its close connection to metric number theory, see e.g. [11][13][16][24][25].

Example 1.1. Let m, n be positive integers.

$$H = \mathrm{SL}_{m+n}(\mathbb{R}), U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_m & p \\ 0_{mn} & 1_n \end{pmatrix} \in H : p \in \mathrm{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

A is the connected component of diagonal matrices in G, and

$$A_U^+ = \{\mathrm{diag}(e^{r_1}, \dots, e^{r_m}, e^{-t_1}, \dots, e^{-t_n}) \in G : r_i, t_j > 0\}. \tag{1.1}$$

The expanding cone allows us to generalize several dynamical results in the setting of Example 1.1 to general homogeneous spaces. In this paper we prove quantitative nonesape of mass, equidistribution of translates of U-slice, effective k-equidistribution of U-slice (a piece of U-orbit) and pointwise equidistribution with an error rate.

1.1. **Expanding cone.** Let $\rho : H \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a representation of H on a finite dimensional real vector space V. We say (ρ, V) is irreducible if $\{0\}$ and V are the only H-invariant subspaces. For every $a \in A$ we can write

$$V = V_a^+ \oplus V_a^0 \oplus V_a^-$$

where V_a^+, V_a^0, V_a^- are the sum of eigenspaces of $\rho(a)$ with eigenvalues $>, =, < 1$ respectively. Following [27] we say U is a-expanding [1] for some $a \in A$ if for every nontrivial irreducible representation (ρ, V) of H the subspace

$$V^U := \{v \in V : \rho(h)v = v \quad \forall h \in U\}$$

is contained in V_a^+. The expanding cone of U in A is defined to be

$$A_U^+ = \{a \in A : U \text{ is } a\text{-expanding}\}. \tag{1.2}$$

We will show in Theorem 1.2 that the expanding cone A_U^+ only depends on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H and it can be described explicitly. To do this we need to introduce some notations related to Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ be Lie algebras of A and U respectively and let $\mathfrak{a}^*$ be the dual vector space of $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the relative root system of $\mathfrak{h}$ and let $\Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ be the subset of roots in the adjoint representation on $\mathfrak{u}$. Recall that Killing form $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite on $\mathfrak{a}$, so for each $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we can associate $s_\beta \in \mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathbf{B}(s_\beta, s) = \beta(s)$ for every $s \in \mathfrak{a}$.

[1] The concept "U is a-expanding" is not exactly the same as that in [27], but they are equivalent which can be deduced from [27, Lemma A.1]

By this isomorphism we can associate to $\Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ the following open and convex cone in $\mathfrak{a}$:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^{+} := \Big\{ \sum_{\alpha\in\Phi(\mathfrak{u})} t_\alpha s_\alpha : t_\alpha > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \Big\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $\exp : \mathfrak{a} \to A$ be the usual exponential map which is an isomorphism from the additive group $\mathfrak{a}$ to A.

Theorem 1.2. *The expanding cone* $A_U^+ = \exp \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ := \{\exp s : s \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+\}$.

There are two kinds of refinements of expanding cone which we will not deal with in this paper: one can define expanding cone in any Ad-diagonalizable group which normalizes an Ad-unipotent subgroup; or one can define expanding cone with respect to a fixed representation of H. These generalisations may also have applications in homogeneous dynamics.

1.2. **Quantitative nonescape of mass.** In the setting of Example 1.1, quantitative nonescape of mass for A_U^+ action on $H/SL_{m+n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is first studied by Kleinbock and Margulis [13]. Apart from its applications in metric number theory, it is a useful tool while studying equidistribution of measures on homogeneous space with respect to the action of diagonal elements. There are various generalizations of [13] and most of them are in the setting of Example 1.1, see e.g. [11], [16] and [7]. Using expanding cone we establish quantitative nonescape of mass on general homogeneous space for U-slice.

In this paper besides compatible group structure and manifold structure we assume a connected Lie group G has two more structures, namely, a right Haar measure μ_G and a right invariant Riemannian structure which induces a right invariant metric d_G. Different choices of these two structures will only affect some constants so we may assume additional compatibility among several groups if needed. We use B_r^G to denote the open ball of radius r in G centered at the identity element 1_G. For a lattice Γ of G we use d_X to denote the induced metric on $X = G/\Gamma$ defined by

$$d_X(g_1\Gamma, g_2\Gamma) = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma} d_G(g_1\gamma, g_2).$$

For every $x \in X$ we let $\pi_x : G \to X$ be the map defined by $g \to gx$. The injectivity radius at $x \in X$ is defined by

$$I(x, X) = \sup\{r \geqslant 0 : \pi_x \text{ is injective on } B_r^G\}$$

which measures the closeness of x to ∞. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ the complement of the set

$$\mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^X := \{x \in X : I(x, X) \geqslant \varepsilon\} \tag{1.4}$$

can be thought of the ε-neighborhood of ∞ in X. The following simple observation may justify this point of view: a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X diverges if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one has $x_i \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^X$ for i sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.3. *Let H be a subgroup of a connected semisimple Lie group G and let Γ be a lattice of G. There exists $\delta = \delta(G, \Gamma, H) > 0$ such that for every compact subset L of $X = G/\Gamma$, for every $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$, $x \in L$ and $r > 0$ one has*

$$\mu_U(\{h \in B_r^U : ahx \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^X\}) \ll_{L,r} \varepsilon^\delta.$$

As usual the relation $f_1 \ll_* f_2$ for two nonnegative functions means $f_1 \ll Mf_2$ for some constant $M > 0$ depending possibly on variables in the set $*$. In this paper the dependence of implied constants on metric or Haar measure of Lie groups will not be specified. Theorem 1.3 is an important ingredient for effective k-equidistribution in §1.4.

It is interesting to extend Theorem 1.3 to more general class of measures on U such as friendly measures in [11] in the setting of Example 1.1. Although Theorem 1.3 looks restrictive due to the assumption that G is connected and semisimple, it actually answers quantitative non-escape of mass for general Lie group S and lattice Λ in S. It is not hard to see that if S is not connected, the quantitative nonescape of mass can be reduced to that of $S^\circ/S^\circ \cap \Lambda$. Here and hereafter S° denotes the connected component of the identity of the Lie group. Assuming S to be connected, then it follows from [1, Lemma 6.1] that there is a closed normal amenable subgroup R of S such that S/R is a semisimple Lie group without compact factors, $R/\Lambda \cap R$ is compact and $\pi(\Lambda)$ is a lattice of S/R where $\pi : S \to S/R$ is the quotient map. Therefore we finally can reduce quantitative nonescape of mass to the setting of Theorem 1.3.

1.3. **Translates of U segment by elements of A_U^+.** Let Γ be a lattice of a Lie group G containing H and let $X = G/\Gamma$. For every $x \in X$ it follows from Ratner's theorem [22] which is conjectured by Raghunathan that $\overline{Hx}$ (the closure of Hx in X) is homogeneous, i.e. $\overline{Hx} = Sx$ for some closed subgroup S and there is an S-invariant probability measure $\mu_{\overline{Hx}}$ supported on $\overline{Hx}$. We say a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in A_U^+ drifts away from walls if $d_A(a_i, \partial A_U^+) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ where ∂A_U^+ is the boundary of A_U^+ in A.

Theorem 1.4. *Let Γ be a lattice of a Lie group G containing H and let $X = G/\Gamma$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in A_U^+ drifting away from walls. Then for any $f \in L^1(U), \psi \in C_c(X)$ and $x \in X$ one has*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_U f(h)\psi(a_i h x)\, \mathrm{d}\mu_U(h) = \int_U f\, \mathrm{d}\mu_U \cdot \int_X \psi\, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{Hx}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Certain cases of Theorem 1.4 are obtained earlier by Mohammadi and Salehi-Golsefidy [20]. More precisely, for $H = \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R})^\circ$ where $\mathbf{H}$ is a simply connected and $\mathbb{Q}$-simple algebraic group, [20] proves Theorem 1.4 in the case where $G = H$, $\Gamma = \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap H$, U is the unipotent radical of a minimal parabolic subgroup subgroup defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, $x \in X$ with Ux closed and a_i $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ belong to a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus. We will explain how Theorem 1.4 applies to this case in §2.4.

Our proof of Theorem 1.4 which is given at the end of §2.2 is based on the property of expanding cone and Shah-Weiss [26, Theorem 1.4]. The main new point here is that our cone A_U^+ is global (not depending on the adjoint representation of H on the Lie algebra of G) and is explicitly described.

If we assume in addition that the conjugation of a_i $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ expands a horospherical subgroup of H contained in U, we can make (1.5) effecitive. This will be the topic of §1.4. The property of expanding cone are used in different ways in effective and ineffective results. The proof of Theorem 1.4 uses Ratner's theorem on measure classification of U-invariant probability measures and the expanding cone helps us to avoid other U-invariant and ergodic probability measures in the limit. While in the effective case the rate of convergence comes from spectral gap and expanding cone is only used to control the behaviour of the measure near the cusp through quantitative nonescape of mass in Theorem 1.3.

1.4. **Effective k-equidistribution.** Suppose H is a normal subgroup of a connected semisimple Lie group G. Let Γ be a lattice of G and μ_X be the probability Haar measure on $X = G/\Gamma$. We assume that the action of H on X has a spectral gap, i.e. trivial unitary representation of H is not weakly contained in $L^2_0(X) := \{\psi \in L^2(X) : \int_X \psi\, \mathrm{d}\mu_X = 0\}$. In the case where Γ is irreducible, if G has no compact factors or Γ is arithmetic, then the action of H on X has a spectral gap. In general one can write G as an almost direct product of normal subgroups $G_1 \cdots G_k$ such that $\Gamma \cap G_i$ is an irreducible lattice of G_i and check spectral gap for each action of $(H \cap G_i)^\circ$ on the quotient $G_i/\Gamma \cap G_i$.

The aim of this section is to give an effective estimate of the integral

$$I_f^k(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{a};\Psi)=\int_U f(h)\prod_{i=1}^k \psi_i(a_i\cdots a_1hx_i)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_U(h)$$

where

$$f\in C_c^\infty(U) \qquad \Psi=(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_k)\in C_c^\infty(X)^k, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_k)\in (A_U^+)^k, \qquad \mathbf{x}=(x_1,\dots,x_k)\in X^k. \tag{1.7}$$

We need to assume that there is a horospherical subgroup contained in U such that the conjugation of each a_i expands this group. Let $\{b_t : t\in\mathbb{R}\}$ be a one parameter subgroup of A such that the projection of $b=b_1$ to each simple factor of H is not the identity element and

$$H_b^+ := \{h\in H : b^{-n}hb^n\to 1_H \quad \text{as } n\to\infty\}\leqslant U.$$

In particular this implies that the positive ray $\{b_t : t>0\}$ is contained in A_U^+ (see [23, Lemma 5.2]). For $a\in A_U^+$ and $\mathbf{a}$ as in (1.7) we set

$$\begin{aligned}\lfloor a\rfloor_b &= \sup\{t\geqslant 0 : ab_{-t}\in A_U^+, H_b^+\leqslant H_{ab_{-t}}^+\},\\ \lfloor \mathbf{a}\rfloor_b &= \min\{\lfloor a_i\rfloor : 1\leqslant i\leqslant k\}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

In the definition of (1.8) if the set before taking supremum is empty we interpret $\lfloor a\rfloor=0$. We put b in the subscript in stead of $\{b_t\}$ since the former is simpler and one parameter group is determined by its value at 1.

Theorem 1.5. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a connected semisimple Lie group G, let Γ be a lattice of G, and let $X=G/\Gamma$. We assume that the action of H on X has a spectral gap. Let $\{b_t\}$ be a one parameter subgroup of A such that the projection of $b=b_1$ to each simple factor of H is not the identity element and $H_b^+\leqslant U$. For every positive integer k there exists $\delta=\delta(H,X,\{b_t\})>0$ with the following properties: for any compact subset L of G and any f,Ψ as in (1.6) there exists $M=M(L,f,\Psi,k)>0$ such that for any $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{a}$ as in (1.7) with $\mathbf{x}\in L^k$ one has*

$$\left| I_f^k(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{a};\Psi)-\int_U f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_U\cdot\prod_{i=1}^k\int_X \psi_i\,\mathrm{d}\mu_X\right|\leqslant Me^{-\delta\lfloor\mathbf{a}\rfloor_b}. \tag{1.9}$$

Theorem 1.5 generalizes Kleinbock-Margulis [14, Theorem 1.3] and Kleinbock-Shi-Weiss [15, Theorem 1.2]. We remark here that effective statement of (1.9) is nontrivial if all the a_i $(1\leqslant i\leqslant k)$ belong to

$$\{a\in A_U^+ : \text{all the eigenvalues of } \mathrm{Ad}_a \text{ in } H_b^+ \text{ are greater than } 1\}$$

which is a nonempty open cone (since $b\in A_U^+$).

It follows from the structure theory of parabolic subgroups of H that H_b^+ is the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup containing P and there are only finitely many of them. Although given a parabolic subgroup $P_0 \geqslant P$ there might be many choices of $\{b_t\}$ such that the unipotent radical of P_0 is equal to H_b^+, different choices will only affect the exponent δ in Theorem 1.5.

Here we briefly explain ideas involved in the proof of Theorem 1.5. We chop U-slice into pieces of H_b^+-slices and for most H_b^+-slices which is not far away in the cusp we use thickening method and spectral gap to get a rate. Using the assumption that $a_i \in A_U^+$ we can apply quantitative nonescape of mass in Theorem 1.3 to control effectively the total pieces of H_b^+-slices possibly far away in the cusp. This method is due to Kleinbock-Margulis [14].

As an application of effective double equidistribution (the case where $k = 2$) we obtain the following result which gives an error rate of pointwise equidistribution.

Corollary 1.6. *Let H, G, Γ, X be as in Theorem 1.5 and let $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ (see (1.3)). Suppose there exists $b \in A\backslash\{1_H\}$ such that $H_b^+ \leqslant U \cap H^+_{\exp s}$. Then given $\psi \in C_c^\infty(X), x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one has*

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \psi(\exp(t\,s)hx)\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_X \psi\,\mathrm{d}\mu_X + o(T^{-\frac{1}{2}}\log^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} T) \tag{1.10}$$

for μ_U almost every $h \in U$.

The notation $f_2(T) = o(f_1(T))$ means $\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{f_2(T)}{f_1(T)} = 0$. This corollary generalizes [15, Theorem 1.1] and strengthens certain ineffective results of [27, Theorem 1.1].

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(U)$ with $f \geqslant 0$ and $\int_U f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_U = 1$. Let ν be the probability measure on X defined by

$$\int_X \varphi\,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_U f(h)\varphi(hx)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_U(h) \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c(X).$$

It follows from Theorem 1.5 that the assumption of [15, Theorem 3.1] holds for the function $\psi(\cdot) - \int_X \psi\,\mathrm{d}\mu_X$ and the measure ν on X. Therefore (1.10) holds for μ_U almost every $h \in \operatorname{supp} f$. By taking a countable family $\{f_n\}$ of such f with $\bigcup \operatorname{supp} f_n = U$ one gets Corollary 1.6. □

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Jinpeng An, Yves Benoist, Dmitry Kleinbock, Jean-Francois Quint and Barak Weiss for the discussions related to this work. Part of this work is done during the author visiting the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute (MSRI)

in Spring 2015 and Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences in July 2015. I would like to thank both institutes for their hospitality.

2. Properties of Expanding cone

In this section we discuss several properties of expanding cone. We prove Theorem 1.2 in §2.1. After that in §2.2 we prove some properties of the expanding cone including the characterization of a sequence drifting away from walls, which will lead to the proof of Theorem 1.4. In §2.3 we show that the cone in Example 1.1 is the expanding cone. In the last section show that the intersection of the expanding cone with a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus is the same as some cones considered in [20].

Let the notation be as in §1.1. In particular, H is a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors, U is the unipotent radical of an absolutely proper parabolic subgroup P of H, A is a maximal connected Ad-diagonalizable subgroup in P. We introduce more notations in §2.1, which will also be used in later sections.

2.1. **Explicit description.** Recall that $\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{a})$ is the relative root system of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. For every $\alpha \in \Phi$ let $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha$ be the root space of α, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{h}_\alpha = \{v \in \mathfrak{h} : [s, v] = \alpha(s)v \text{ for all } s \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

We fix sets of positive and simple roots Φ^+ and Π respectively so that P is a standard parabolic subgroup. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the Lie algebra of P and let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ be the Levi decomposition such that $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{p}$ is normalized by $\mathfrak{a}$. There is a subset I of Π such that

$$\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{p} = Z_\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_I} \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathfrak{u} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+, \alpha \notin \mathbb{R}I} \mathfrak{h}_\alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathbb{R}I$ to is the linear subspace in $\mathfrak{a}^*$ spanned by I and $\Phi_I = \Phi \cap \mathbb{R}I$. Let $\mathfrak{u}^-$ be the unipotent radical of the opposite parabolic subgroup $\mathfrak{p}^-$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. Since we assume U is the unipotent radical of an absolutely proper parabolic subgroup P, the Lie algebra generated by $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{u}^-$ is $\mathfrak{h}$. Also, the $\mathbb{R}$-linear span of $\{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})\}$ is equal to $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Recall that for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we associate $s_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ via the Killing form $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ be the inner product on $\mathfrak{a}^*$ defined by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \mathbf{B}(s_\alpha, s_\beta)$.

Epimorphic subgroups were studied earlier by Bien and Borel [3]. A closed subgroup S of H is said to be epimorphic if for every representation (ρ, V) of H one has $V^S = V^H$. In this paper all the representations of Lie groups and Lie algebras are on real vector spaces. The Lie group representation induces a Lie algebra representation on V and we still use ρ to denote the corresponding Lie algebra representation $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the Lie algebra of S. It can be checked directly

that if S is connected then V^H is equal to the set of $\mathfrak{s}$ annihilated elements

$$V^{\mathfrak{s}} := \{v \in V : \rho(s)v = 0 \text{ for every } s \in \mathfrak{s}\}.$$

We say $\mathfrak{s}$ is an epimorphic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ if for every representation (ρ, V) of $\mathfrak{h}$ one has $V^{\mathfrak{h}} = V^{\mathfrak{s}}$. It is easy to see that a connected and closed subgroup S of H is epimorphic if and only if its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is epimorphic.

Lemma 2.1. *$\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ is an epimorphic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$.*

Proof. Let (ρ, V) be a representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $v \in V^{\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{u}}$. Let $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ and $u^- \in \mathfrak{h}_{-\alpha}$ with $u^- \neq 0$. By [18, Proposition 6.52] there exists $u \in \mathfrak{u}$ and $s \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that (s, u, u^-) is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ triple, i.e. $[s, u] = 2u, [s, u^-] = 2u^-, [u, u^-] = s$. Since v is annihilated by s and u, the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ implies that v is also annihilated by u^-. Therefore v is annihilated by $\mathfrak{u}^-$. Since $\{s \in \mathfrak{h} : \rho(s)v = 0\}$ is a subalgebra and the Lie algebra generated by $\mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{u}^-$ is $\mathfrak{h}$, one has v is annihilated by $\mathfrak{h}$. □

For $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ the subspace of weight β in a representation (ρ, V) of $\mathfrak{h}$ is

$$V_\beta := \{v \in V : \rho(s)v = \beta(s)v \text{ for every } s \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

The set of weights Δ consists of $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $V_\beta \neq \{0\}$ for some representation V of $\mathfrak{h}$. Note that Δ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{a}^*$ containing the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of Π and contained in

$$\{\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^* : 2\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle/\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } \alpha \in \Phi\}, \tag{2.2}$$

see the proof of [18, Proposition 5.4(b)]. Recall that the set in (2.2) is equal to

$$\{\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^* : 2\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle/\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } \alpha \in \Pi'\},$$

where $\Pi' = \{\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi \text{ but } 2\alpha \notin \Phi\} \cup \{2\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi \text{ and } 2\alpha \in \Phi\}$, see the proof of [18, Proposition 4.62]. It follows that Δ is a lattice in $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a nonempty subset of a real vector space V. The dual cone of $\mathcal{S}$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{S}^\star = \{\varphi \in V^* : \varphi(v) \geqslant 0 \text{ for every } v \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

We will consider dual cone either for $V = \mathfrak{a}$ or $V = \mathfrak{a}^*$ where in the latter case we identify $(\mathfrak{a}^*)^*$ with $\mathfrak{a}$ in the natural way. It follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ that

$$\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+} = \{\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi(\mathfrak{u})} t_\alpha s_\alpha : t_\alpha \geqslant 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha\}.$$

According to the definition of s_α and $\mathcal{S}^\star$ one has

$$(\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+})^\star = \mathcal{C} := \{\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^* : \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The interior of* $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+}$ *is* $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ is a subset of the interior of $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+}$. On the other hand suppose s is in the interior of $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+}$. For every $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u}) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we choose a positive real number ε_α sufficiently small so that

$$s - \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})} \varepsilon_\alpha s_\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+}.$$

Therefore, we can write

$$s = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})} (t_\alpha + \varepsilon_\alpha) s_\alpha$$

where $t_\alpha \geqslant 0$. Hence $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$. □

Lemma 2.3. *For every* $\beta \in \mathcal{C}\backslash\{0\}$ *one has* $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ \subset \{s \in \mathfrak{a} : \beta(s) > 0\}$.

Proof. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{C}$ in (2.3) that $\beta(s_\alpha) \geqslant 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})$. Since $\beta \neq 0$ one has $\beta(s_\alpha) > 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})$. Note that every element of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ is a positive linear combination of s_α ($\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})$), so $\beta(s) > 0$ for all $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$. □

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a finite set* $C \subset \mathcal{C} \cap (\Delta\backslash\{0\})$ *such that*

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ = \{s \in \mathfrak{a} : \beta(s) > 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in C\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Using the theory of convex polyhedral cones (see e.g. [28, Chapter 1]) it can be shown that there exists a finite set C of $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Delta\backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+} = \{s \in \mathfrak{a} : \beta(s) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in C\}. \tag{2.5}$$

It follows directly from the definition of $\mathcal{C}$ in (2.3) that $C \subset \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, by possibly replacing C by its integral multiples one can take $C \subset \Delta\backslash\{0\}$.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ is the interior of $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+}$. So (2.5) implies

$$\{s \in \mathfrak{a} : \beta(s) > 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in C\} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+.$$

The reverse inclusion follows from Lemma 2.3. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let* (ρ, V) *be a nontrivial irreducible representation of* $\mathfrak{h}$. *If* $\beta \in \Delta$ *and* $V_\beta \cap V^{\mathfrak{u}} \neq \{0\}$ *then* $\beta \in \mathcal{C}\backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. First we show that $\beta \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ and $u \in \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$ is a nonzero element. It follows from [18, Proposition 6.52] that there exists $u^- \in \mathfrak{u}^-$ such that $(s_0 = 2s_\alpha/\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle, u, u^-)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. Note that

$$\beta(s_0) = 2\langle\beta,\alpha\rangle/\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle \tag{2.6}$$

is the eigenvalue of $\rho(s_0)$ and elements of V_β are eigenvectors of it. Since we assume there is a nonzero vector in V_β annihilated by u, the classification of representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ implies $\beta(s_0) \geqslant 0$. This and (2.6) imply $\langle\beta,\alpha\rangle \geqslant 0$. Since α is an arbitrary element of $\Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ we have $\beta \in \mathcal{C}$.

Next we show $\beta \neq 0$. Assume the contrary, that is V_β is annihilated by $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. By Lemma 2.1, $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$ is an epimorphic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$, so $V_\beta \subset V^{\mathfrak{h}}$. This contradicts the assumption that (ρ, V) is a nontrivial irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ and hence $\beta \neq 0$. □

The converse of Lemma 2.5 is also true. Let W be the Weyl group of the root system Φ. For every $\alpha \in \Phi$ we let $w_\alpha \in W$ be the orthogonal reflection with respect to α. Let I be the subset of Π determined by $\mathfrak{p}$ as in (2.1). Let W_I be the subgroup of W generated by w_α ($\alpha \in I$).

Lemma 2.6. *For every nonzero $\beta \in \mathcal{C} \cap \Delta$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a non-trivial irreducible representation (ρ, V) of $\mathfrak{h}$ with highest weight λ such that $m\beta \in W_I\lambda$, $V_{m\beta} \neq \{0\}$ and $V_{m\beta} \subset V^{\mathfrak{u}}$.*

Proof. Recall that $w_\alpha(\alpha') = \alpha' - \frac{2\langle\alpha,\alpha'\rangle}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}\alpha$, from which it is easy to see that w_α ($\alpha \in I$) and hence W_I leaves $\Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ and $\mathbb{R}I$ invariant. Let β_I be the orthogonal projection of β to $\mathbb{R}I$. Note that Φ_I is a relative root system in $\mathbb{R}I$ with Weyl group W_I and the restriction of $\langle , \rangle$ to $\mathbb{R}I$ is an inner product invariant under W_I. Since Weyl group acts transitively on closed Weyl Chambers, there is $w \in W_I$ such that $\langle w\beta_I, \alpha\rangle \geqslant 0$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi_I^+$ where $\Phi_I^+ = \Phi_I \cap \Phi^+$.

We claim that $w\beta$ is dominant with respect to Φ^+. First for $\alpha \in I$ one has

$$\langle w\beta, \alpha\rangle = \langle w\beta_I, \alpha\rangle \geqslant 0,$$

since $\beta - \beta_I$ is orthogonal to $\mathbb{R}I$. If $\alpha \in \Pi\backslash I$ then $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{u})$. In this case

$$\langle w\beta, \alpha\rangle = \langle \beta, w^{-1}\alpha\rangle \geqslant 0$$

since w^{-1} leaves $\Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ invariant and $\beta \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a maximal abelian subalgebra containing $\mathfrak{a}$ in

$$Z_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{a}) := \{h \in \mathfrak{h} : [h, s] = 0 \ \forall s \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and there is a positive system of the root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ such that Φ^+ is the the restrictions of it. Recall that we can write $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ such that all

the weights of $\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}$ are real on $i\mathfrak{c}\oplus\mathfrak{a}$. Since we assume $\beta\in\Delta$, there is a representation V' of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $w\beta$ a weight of it. Let $\chi_1,\dots,\chi_m$ be the $\mathfrak{t}\otimes\mathbb{C}$-weights on the space $V'_{w\beta}\otimes\mathbb{C}$ indexed according to multiplicity. Then $\chi=\sum_{i=1}^m\chi_i$ is algebraically integral with respect to $\Phi(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C},\mathfrak{t}\otimes\mathbb{C})$ and χ vanishes on $\mathfrak{c}$. Since $\Phi(\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C},\mathfrak{t}\otimes\mathbb{C})^+$ is compatible with Φ^+ and $w\beta$ is dominant (with respect to Φ^+) one has χ is dominant.

It follows from the highest weight theory of complex semisimple Lie algebras that there is an irreducible complex representation of $\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathbb{C}$ with highest weight χ. This representation can be viewed as a real representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ and we let V be an irreducible sub-representation of it. It is clear that $m(w\beta)$ is the highest weight of V, so $V_{m(w\beta)}$ is nonzero and contained in $V^{\mathfrak{u}}$. The simply connected covering $\widetilde{H}$ of H has a natural Lie group structure and there is a representation of $\widetilde{H}$ inducing the Lie algebra representation of $\mathfrak{h}$. To simplify the notation we identify $\mathfrak{h}$ with the Lie algebra of $\widetilde{H}$. It follows from [18, Theorem 6.57] that there is $h\in\widetilde{H}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(h)$ leaves $\mathfrak{a}$ invariant and the induced action on $\mathfrak{a}^*$ is exactly w. This observation and the fact w leaves $\Phi(\mathfrak{u})$ invariant imply $V_{m\beta}$ is nonzero and contained in $V^{\mathfrak{u}}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.4 there exists a subset C of $\mathcal{C}\cap(\Delta\backslash\{0\})$ such that (2.4) holds. Let $a=\exp s$ for some $s\in\mathfrak{a}$.

First, we assume $a\in A_U^+$ and prove that $s\in\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$. By (2.4) it suffices to show $\beta(s)>0$ for all $\beta\in C$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that there is $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and a nontrivial irreducible representation (ρ,V) of $\mathfrak{h}$ with highest weight λ such that $m\beta\in W_I\lambda$, $V_{m\beta}\neq\{0\}$ and $V_{m\beta}\subset V^{\mathfrak{u}}$. There exists $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that the representation $(\rho^{\otimes n},V^{\otimes n})$ lifts to a representation of the Lie group H. It follows that there is a nontrival irreducible subrepresentation $(\widetilde{\rho},\widetilde{V})$ of H in $V^{\otimes n}$ such that $\widetilde{V}_{mn\beta}\neq 0$ and $\widetilde{V}_{mn\beta}\subset\widetilde{V}^U$. It follows from the definition of A_U^+ in (1.2) that $\widetilde{V}^U\subset\widetilde{V}_a^+$. Therefore $\widetilde{V}_{mn\beta}\subset\widetilde{V}_a^+$. Since elements of $\widetilde{V}_{mn\beta}$ are eigenvectors of $\widetilde{\rho}(a)$ with eigenvalue $e^{mn\beta(s)}$, one has $\beta(s)>0$. This completes the proof that $s\in\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$.

Conversely, we assume $s\in\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ and prove that $a\in A_U^+$. Let (ρ,V) be a nontrivial irreducible representation of H. Suppose β is a weight in V with $V_\beta\cap V^{\mathfrak{u}}\neq\{0\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\beta\in\mathcal{C}\backslash\{0\}$. According to Lemma 2.3 and the assumption $s\in\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ one has $\beta(s)>0$. This implies that $V_\beta\subset V_a^+$. Note that $V^{\mathfrak{u}}=V^U$ and this space is A-invariant. Therefore $V^U\subset V_a^+$. By the definition of A_U^+ one has $a\in A_U^+$. □

2.2. **Some properties.** We prove more properties of the expanding cone A_U^+. Although we may not use all of these facts in this paper, we

think they have their own interests and will help the reader understand this concept.

Lemma 2.7. *For every $a \in A_U^+$, the group $\{a^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}U$ is epimorphic in H.*

Proof. Let (ρ, V) be a nontrivial irreducible representation of H. Since $a \in A_U^+$, for any $v \in V^U$ one has $v \in V_a^+$. In particular if v is nonzero, $\rho(a)v \neq v$. So there is no nonzero invariant vector for the group $\{a^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}U$. □

Let $d_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the metric on $\mathfrak{a}$ induced by the Killing form. We use $d_{\mathfrak{a}}(s, \partial\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+)$ to denote the distance between s and the boundary of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$. We say a sequence $\{s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ drifts away from walls if $d_{\mathfrak{a}}(s_i, \partial\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. By Theorem 1.2, $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ can be identified with A_U^+ via the exponential map. So $\{s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ drifts away from walls if and only if $\{\exp s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ does in the sense defined in §1.3.

Lemma 2.8. *A sequence $\{s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ drifts away from walls if and only if*

$$\beta(s_i) \to \infty \quad \text{as } i \to \infty \tag{2.7}$$

for every $\beta \in \mathcal{C}\backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{C}\backslash\{0\}$. By Lemma 2.3 the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}_\beta := \{s \in \mathfrak{a} : \beta(s) = 0\}$ does not intersect $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$. Therefore $d_{\mathfrak{a}}(s_i, \mathcal{H}_\beta) \geqslant d_{\mathfrak{a}}(s_i, \partial\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+)$. So if $\{s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ drifts away from walls then (2.7) holds.

On the other hand by Lemma 2.4 there is a finite subset C of $\mathcal{C}\backslash\{0\}$ such that $C^\star = \overline{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+}$. In particular

$$\partial\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ \subset \bigcup_{\beta\in C} \mathcal{H}_\beta.$$

If (2.7) holds for every $\beta \in \mathcal{C}$, one has

$$d_{\mathfrak{a}}(s_i, \partial\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+) \geqslant d_{\mathfrak{a}}(s_i, \bigcup_{\beta\in C} \mathcal{H}_\beta) \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad i \to \infty.$$

□

Corollary 2.9. *Suppose a sequence $\{s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of elements of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ drifts aways from walls. Let (ρ, V) be a representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ without nonzero $\mathfrak{h}$-annihilated vectors. Let β be a weight on $V^{\mathfrak{u}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. Then*

$$\beta(s_i) \to \infty \quad \text{as } i \to \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Since V has no nonzero $\mathfrak{h}$-annihilated vectors, it is a direct sum of nontrivial irreducible subspaces. Therefore Lemma 2.5 implies $\beta \in \mathcal{C}\backslash\{0\}$. So (2.8) follows from Lemma 2.8. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. According to [26, Theorem 1.4] one has (1.5) holds if $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ belongs to a proper closed cone of A_U^+. But actually if one goes through the proof of [26, Theorem 1.4] one can see that what really needed is [26, (3.13)]. In view of Corollary 2.9 the assumption $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ drifts away from walls implies [26, (3.13)], from which (1.5) follows.

□

2.3. **Expanding cone and Example 1.1.** The aim of this section is to show that in the setting of Example 1.1 the expanding cone is given by (1.1). We remark here that it is proved in Kleinbock-Weiss [16, Lemma 2.3] that the right hand side of (1.1) is contained in A_U^+.

We identify $\mathfrak{h}$ with the space of trace zero matrices of rank $m+n$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is the space of diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{h}$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{a} = \{s = \operatorname{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{m+n}) : \sum t_i = 0\}.$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m+n$ we let $e_i \in (\mathbb{R}^{m+n})^*$ be the element which maps every vector to its i-th component. Then the space $\{\sum t_i e_i : \sum t_i = 0\}$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

We take

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \{e_i - e_j : i \neq j\},\\ \Phi^+ &= \{e_i - e_j : i < j\},\\ \Pi &= \{e_i - e_{i+1} : 1 \leqslant i < m+n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the notation of (2.1), the group U in Example 1.1 is the unipotent radical of the parabolic group given by $I = \{e_m - e_{m-1}\}$. For $\alpha = e_i - e_j$, the associated element $s_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ is the diagonal matrix with entries zero except at i-th entry which is $\frac{1}{4}$ and j-th entry which is $-\frac{1}{4}$.

In view of

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{u}) = \{e_i - e_{m+j} : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n\}$$

one has

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ = \{\operatorname{diag}(r_1, \dots, r_m, -t_1, \dots, -t_n) : r_i, t_j > 0\}.$$

So the cone in Example 1.1 is equal to $\exp \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ which is the expanding cone of U according to Theorem 1.2.

2.4. **Intersection of expanding cone with a subtorus.** In this section we show that the cone considered in [20] for minimal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroup is the intersection of the expanding cone defined in this paper with the corresponding $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus.

We first put the general setting of H, A, U in the framework of [20]. We assume that $H = \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R})^\circ$ where $\mathbf{H}$ is a Zariski connected semisimple

algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. For simplicity we assume $\mathbf{H}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-simple and simply connected. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the Zariski closure of A in $\mathbf{H}$. We assume that $\mathbf{A}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbf{A}$ contains a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus $\mathbf{A}_0$ and $P = \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$ where $\mathbf{P}$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $A_0 = \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{R})^\circ$, $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(A_0)$ and $r : \mathfrak{a}^* \to \mathfrak{a}_0^*$ be the restriction map. Recall that (see [4, §21.8])

$$\Phi_0 = \{r(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Phi, r(\alpha) \neq 0\}$$

is a relative root system and $\Phi_0^+ = \Phi_0 \cap r(\Phi^+)$ is a positive system with set of simple roots $\Pi_0 = \Phi_0 \cap r(\Pi)$.

The Weyl group W of Φ acts orthogonally on $\mathfrak{a}^*$ and it induces an orthogonal action on $\mathfrak{a}$ via $w(s_\alpha) = s_{w\alpha}$ with respect to the Killing form. Moreover, let W_0 be the restriction of

$$\{w \in W : w\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_0\}$$

to $\mathfrak{a}_0$ and we let W_0 acts on $\mathfrak{a}_0^*$ by $s_{w(\alpha_0)} = w s_{\alpha_0}$ for $\alpha_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_0^*$. Then W_0 is the Weyl group of Φ_0, see [4, Corollary 21.4]. The restriction of the Killing form $\mathbf{B}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is an inner product invariant under W_0. For every $\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0$ we let $s_{\alpha_0} \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ be the element determined by $\mathbf{B}(s_{\alpha_0}, s) = \alpha_0(s)$ for all $s \in \mathfrak{a}_0$. Let

$$\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+ = \{ \sum_{\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0^+} t_{\alpha_0} s_{\alpha_0} : t_{\alpha_0} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha_0\}.$$

Proposition 2.10. $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ \cap \mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+$. *Moreover a sequence* $\{s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+$ *drifts away from walls of* $\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+$ *in* $\mathfrak{a}_0$ *if and only if it drifts away from walls of* $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ *in* $\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A}_1$ be the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-anisotropic torus of $\mathbf{A}$ and let $\mathfrak{a}_1$ be the Lie algebra of $A_1 := \mathbf{A}_1(\mathbb{R})^\circ$. Then $A = A_0 A_1$ is a direct product and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1$ is an orthogonal decomposition with respect to the Killing form, see [4, Proposition 8.15]. For all $\alpha \in \Phi$ the element $s_{r(\alpha)}$ is the orthogonal projection of s_α on $\mathfrak{a}_0$. Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_0^*$ be a nonzero dominant weight with respect to Φ_0^+ and let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the extension of λ_0 by taking $\lambda(\mathfrak{a}_1) = \{0\}$. Then λ is dominant with respect to Φ^+, and in particular $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ which is defined in (2.3). Therefore for all $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ \cap \mathfrak{a}_0$ one has

$$\lambda_0(s) = \lambda(s) > 0$$

by Lemma 2.3. Hence $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ \cap \mathfrak{a}_0 \subset \mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+$.

Let k be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{A}$ is k-split. The group $Gal(k/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathrm{span}_k \Phi$ in the following way:

$$\alpha^\sigma(s) := \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}(s) \quad \forall\ \sigma \in Gal(k/\mathbb{Q}), \alpha \in \mathrm{span}_k \Phi, s \in \mathrm{Lie}\, \mathbf{A}(k).$$

Since $\alpha(s) = \mathfrak{a}_0$ for all $s \in \operatorname{Lie} \mathbf{A}_0(k)$, one has $r(\alpha) = r(\alpha^\sigma)$ for $\alpha \in \operatorname{span}_k \Phi$. Also, $\alpha \in \operatorname{span}_k \Phi$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{a}_1$ if and only if $\alpha^\sigma = \alpha$ for all $\sigma \in Gal(k/\mathbb{Q})$. It follows from the above two observations that for $\alpha_0 \in \Phi_0^+$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi, r(\alpha) = \alpha_0} \alpha = m\alpha_0'$$

where m is a positive integer and $\alpha_0' \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is the extension of α_0 by taking $\alpha_0'(\mathfrak{a}_1) = \{0\}$. Therefore

$$s_{\alpha_0} = s_{\alpha_0'} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi, r(\alpha) = \alpha_0} s_\alpha,$$

which implies $\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+ \cap \mathfrak{a}_0$.

For the second assertion, we recall that the cone $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ is a polyhedral cone with 0 as a vertex. Therefore the boundary of $\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+$ in $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is exactly the intersection of $\partial \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ with $\mathfrak{a}_0$. The second assertion follows from the observation that a sequence of points in the polyhedral cone drift away from walls if and and only if the distance of the sequence to each hyperplane of the boundary goes to infinity (cf. Lemma 2.8). □

As a special case of [20, Theorem 1(i)] it is proved that for every sequence $\{s_i\} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathfrak{u}}^+$ which drifts away from walls in $\mathfrak{a}_0$ one has (1.5) holds with $a_i = \exp s_i$, $G = H$ and $\Gamma = \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap H$. Since the sequence $\{s_i\}$ also drifts away from walls of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{u}}^+$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ by Proposition 2.10, Theorem 1.4 applies in this situation.

3. Quantitative nonescape of mass for A_U^+ translates of U-slice

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. Recall that H is a subgroup of a connected semisimple Lie group G, Γ is a lattice of G and $X = G/\Gamma$.

Let $\mathfrak{u}$ be the Lie algebra of U and we give $\mathfrak{u}$ an inner product structure such that the corresponding Lebesgue measure $\mu_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is mapped to the Haar measure μ_U through the exponential map, see [6, Theorem 1.2.10 (a)]. We use $B_r^{\mathfrak{u}}$ to denote the open ball of radius r centered at $0 \in \mathfrak{u}$ with respect to the metric induced from this inner product. For $r > 0$ there exists $\ell \geqslant 1$ such that

$$\exp B_{r/\ell}^{\mathfrak{u}} \subset B_r^U \subset \exp B_{\ell r}^{\mathfrak{u}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since we allow the implied constant in (1.5) to depend on r we can work with $(\mathfrak{u}, \mu_{\mathfrak{u}})$ instead of (U, μ_U).

3.1. **Arithmetic quotient.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be a real vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $\rho : H \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a continuous homomorphism. For every $r > 0$ there exists $t > 0$ (depending on ρ, r and $\|\cdot\|$) such that for any $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$ and any $v \in V\backslash\{0\}$ one has*

$$\sup_{h\in B_r^U} \frac{\|\rho(ah)v\|}{\|v\|} \geqslant t. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We decompose V into the direct sum of H-invariant subspaces $V_1 \oplus V_2$ where H acts trivially on V_1 and H does not leave any nonzero vector invariant in V_2. Since different norms on V are equivalent, we assume without loss of generality that $\|\cdot\|$ is a Euclidean norm induced from an inner product with the following properties:

- V_1 and V_2 are orthogonal;
- there exists an orthonormal basis of V_2 under which $\rho(A)$ is diagonal.

Let $\pi : V \to V_2^U$ be the orthogonal projection. Since V_2^U is A-invariant, it is not hard to see that $\rho(a)\pi = \pi\rho(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Since for $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$ the eigenvalues of $\rho(a)$ on V_2^U are all greater than or equal to 1, one has

$$\|\rho(a)v\| \geqslant \|v\| \quad \forall a \in \overline{A_U^+}, v \in V_2^U. \tag{3.3}$$

We first show that

$$t_1 := \inf_{v\in V_2, \|v\|=1} \sup_{h\in B_r^U} \|\pi(\rho(h)v)\| \tag{3.4}$$

is positive. Let $v \in V_2$ be a nonzero vector. We claim that there exists $h \in B_r^U$ such that $\pi(\rho(h)v) \neq 0$. Suppose the contrary, then the subspace V_2' generated by $\rho(U)v$ is orthogonal to V_2^U. By Engel's theorem for nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}$ acting on the space V_2' there exists a nonzero U-invariant vector $v' \in V_2'$. Since $v' \in V_2^U$ it is not orthogonal to V_2^U. This contradiction proves the claim. Therefore for every $v \in V_2$ there exists $t_v > 0$ and an open neighborhood N_v of v in V_2 such that for every $v' \in N_v$

$$\sup_{h\in B_r^U} \|\pi(\rho(h)v')\| \geqslant t_v.$$

Since $\{v \in V_2 : \|v\| = 1\}$ is compact one has $t_1 > 0$.

Let $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$ and $v \in V$ with $\|v\| = 1$. We write $v = v_1 + v_2$ according to the orthogonal decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho(ah)v\| &= \|v_1\| + \|\rho(ah)v_2\| && V_1 \text{ is orthogonal to } V_2 \\
&\geqslant \|v_1\| + \|\pi(\rho(ah)v_2)\| && \pi \text{ is orthogonal projection} \\
&\geqslant \|v_1\| + \|\rho(a)\pi(\rho(h)v_2)\| && \rho(a) \text{ and } \pi \text{ commutes} \\
&\geqslant \|v_1\| + \|\pi(\rho(h)v_2)\| && \text{by (3.3).}
\end{aligned}$$

By above inequality and (3.4) one has

$$\sup_{h \in B_r^U} \|\rho(ah)v\| \geqslant \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } \|v_1\| \geqslant 1/2 \\ t_1/2 & \text{if } \|v_2\| \geqslant 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore (3.2) holds for $t = \min\{1/2, t_1/2\}$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Gamma_0 = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap G_0$ be a lattice of a closed subgroup G_0 of $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\rho : H \to G_0$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any compact subset L of $Y = G_0/\Gamma_0$, for any $r, \varepsilon > 0$ and any $a \in \overline{A_U^+}, y \in L$*

$$\mu_U(\{h \in B_r^U : \rho(ah)y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}) \ll_{L,r} \varepsilon^\delta.$$

Proof. Since different Riemannian structures on G_0 will induce equivalent metrics on G_0 and Y, we assume without loss of generality that the inclusion map $G_0 \to SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a Riemannian embedding. It follows that

$$I(g\Gamma_0, G_0/\Gamma_0) \geqslant I(gSL_n(\mathbb{Z}), SL_n(\mathbb{R})/SL_n(\mathbb{Z}))$$

for any $g \in G_0$. So it suffices to prove the lemma in the case where $L_0 = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_0 = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, which we will assume in the rest of the proof.

Recall that the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{u}$ is mapped to μ_U by the exponential map and for any $r > 0$ there exists $\ell \geqslant 1$ such that (3.1) holds. So it suffices to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any compact subset L of Y, any $r, \varepsilon > 0$ and any $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$, $y \in L$

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{u}}(\{u \in B_r^{\mathfrak{u}} : \rho(a(\exp u))y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}) \ll_L \varepsilon^\delta \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}(B_r^{\mathfrak{u}}).$$

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the standard Euclidean norm on $V = \oplus_{i=0}^n \bigwedge^i \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we let

$$K_\varepsilon = \{g\Gamma_0 \in Y : \inf_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \|gv\| \geqslant \varepsilon\}.$$

By [14, Proposition 3.5] it suffices to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any compact subset L of Y, any $r, \varepsilon > 0$ and any $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$, $y \in L$

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{u}}(\{u \in B_r^{\mathfrak{u}} : \rho(a(\exp u))y \notin K_\varepsilon\}) \ll_L \varepsilon^\delta \mu_{\mathfrak{u}}(B_r^{\mathfrak{u}}). \tag{3.5}$$

For every nonzero $v \in V$ and $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$ we define the map $\psi_{a,v} : \mathfrak{u} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\psi_{a,v}(u) = \|\rho(a\exp(u))v\|$. Since $\psi_{a,v}(u)^2$ is a polynomial map with degree at most k where k is determined by ρ, [2, Lemma 3.2] implies $\psi_{a,v}(u)$ is (C,α)-good on $\mathfrak{u}$ where $C, \alpha > 0$ are positive constants depending only on ρ. Since L is compact, by Mahler's compactness criterion there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for any $g\Gamma_0 \in L$ and any nonzero pure tensor $v \in \oplus_{i=0}^n \bigwedge^i \mathbb{Z}^n$ one has

$$\|gv\| \geqslant t_0 > 0.$$

It follows from this observation and Lemma 3.1 that there exists $t > 0$ depending on L such that for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, any nonzero pure tensor $v \in \bigwedge^i \mathbb{Z}^n$, any $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$ and any $g\Gamma_0 \in L$ one has

$$\sup_{u \in B_r^{\mathfrak{u}}} \|\rho(a(\exp u))gv\| \geqslant t^i.$$

Therefore (3.5) holds for $\delta = \alpha$ according to [10, Theorem 2.2], which completes the proof.

□

3.2. **Quotient of rank one Lie group.**

Lemma 3.3. *Let Γ_0 be a lattice of a connected real rank one semisimple Lie group G_0. Let $\rho : H \to G_0$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any compact subset L of $Y = G_0/\Gamma_0$, any $r, \varepsilon > 0$ and any $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$, $y \in L$*

$$\mu_U(\{h \in B_r^U : \rho(ah)y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}) \ll_{L,r} \varepsilon^\delta.$$

We first recall a compactness criterion of Y due to Garland and Raghunathan [9]. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be the Lie algebra of G_0 and let $V = \bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}_0$ where k is the dimension of the unipotent radical U_0 of some absolutely proper parabolic subgroup of G_0. We fix a nonzero element v_0 in the one-dimensional subspace $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{u}_0$ where $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is the Lie algebra of U_0. The following version is taken from Kleinbock-Weiss [17].

Lemma 3.4 ([17] Proposition 3.1)**.** *There exist $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G_0$ such that for $\Delta = \{\gamma g_i v_0 : \gamma \in \Gamma_0, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\}$ the following holds:*

(1) Δ is a discrete subset of V;
(2) For any $R \subset G_0$, $\pi(R) \subset Y$ is relatively compact if and only if there exists $r > 0$ such that for all $g \in R$ and $v \in \Delta$ one has $\|gv\| \geqslant r$;
(3) There exists r_0 such that for any $g \in G_0$, there is at most one $v \in \Delta$ such that $\|gv\| < r_0$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let the notation be as in Lemma 3.3. Then there exists a compact subset L_0 of Y depending on L such that for any $a \in \overline{A_P^+}$ and $y \in L$ one has $\rho(aB_1^U)y \cap L_0 \neq \varnothing$.*

Proof. Let r_0 and Δ be as in Lemma 3.4. Since L is relatively compact in Y, (2) of Lemma 3.4 implies that there exists $r > 0$ such that for any $g \in G_0$ with $g\Gamma_0 \in L$ and any $v \in \Delta$ one has

$$\|gv\| \geqslant r. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.6) and Lemma 3.1 one can find a positive number t such that for any $a \in \overline{A_P^+}$, $g\Gamma_0 \in L$ and $v \in \Delta$

$$\sup_{h \in B_1^U} \|\rho(ah)gv\| \geqslant t. \tag{3.7}$$

The existence of L_0 follows from (3.7) and (2) of Lemma 3.4. □

Proof of Lemma 3.3. If $\rho(H)$ is trivial there is nothing to prove. If $\rho(H)$ is not trivial, then it follows from the definition of expanding cone that $\rho(A_U^+)$ is the expanding cone of $\rho(U)$ in $\rho(H)$. By possibly replacing H by $\rho(H)$ we assume without loss of generality that H is a subgroup of G_0. Let $\{a_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the one-parameter subgroup of G_0 such that $A_U^+ = \{a_t : t > 0\}$.

According to Lemma 3.5 there exits $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending on L such that for any $y \in L$ and any $t \geqslant 0$ there exists $h_{y,t} \in B_1^U$ such that

$$z_{y,t} := a_t h_{y,t} y \in \mathrm{Inj}_{\varepsilon_0}^Y.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\{h \in B_r^U : a_t h y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\} = \{h \in B_r^U : [a_t h (h_{y,t})^{-1} a_{-t}] z_{y,t} \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}.$$

Therefore it suffices to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $y \in \mathrm{Inj}_{\varepsilon_0}$, $t \geqslant 0$ and $r, \varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu_U(\{h \in B_r^U : a_t h a_{-t} y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}) \ll_{\varepsilon_0, r} \varepsilon^\delta. \tag{3.8}$$

Recall that $B_r^{\mathfrak{u}}$ is the ball of radius r centered at $0 \in \mathfrak{u}$ with respect to the Euclidean metric and the corresponding Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{u}$ is mapped to μ_U by exponential map. Also for $r > 0$ there exists $\ell \geqslant 1$ such that (3.1) holds. Since we allow the implied constant in (3.8) to depend on r, it suffices to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $y \in \mathrm{Inj}_{\varepsilon_0}$, $t \geqslant 0$ and $r, \varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{u}}(\{u \in B_r^{\mathfrak{u}} : a_t (\exp u) a_{-t} y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}) \ll_{\varepsilon_0, r} \varepsilon^\delta. \tag{3.9}$$

According to [5, Theorem 1.1] there exists $\delta > 0$ depending on Y such that for any $u \in \mathfrak{u}$ with $\|u\| = 1$ and $\varepsilon_0, y, t, r, \varepsilon$ as before

$$|\{-r < s < r : a(\exp su) a^{-1} y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}| \leqslant_{\varepsilon_0, Y} \varepsilon^\delta r. \tag{3.10}$$

We remark here that to get (3.10) one needs to use the explicit calculation of the constant c_ε in the proof of [5, Theorem 1.1]. Using polar coordinates for the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{u}$ one gets (3.9) from (3.10). This completes the proof.

□

3.3. **General case.** In this section H, G, Γ are as in Theorem 1.3. The following two lemmas allow us to reduce the general case in Theorem 1.3 to arithmetic case and rank one case considered in §3.1 and §3.2 respectively.

Let Z_G be the center of G and G^{cpt} be the maximal connected compact normal subgroup of G.

Lemma 3.6. *There exist Lie groups G_i $(0 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ and lattices Γ_i in G_i where $G_0 = SL_n(\mathbb{R}), \Gamma_0 = SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and G_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ are real rank one semisimple Lie groups so that the following holds: there is a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G \to \prod_{i=0}^k G_i$ such that $\ker(\rho) = Z_G G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ and $\rho(\Gamma) \cap \prod_{i=0}^k \Gamma_i$ has finite index in $\rho(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : G \to G/G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ be the quotient map. Since the kernel of π is G^{cpt} and $\pi(\Gamma)$ is a lattice in the group G/G^{cpt}, it suffices to prove the lemma in the case where G has no compact factors.

Suppose G has no compact factors. It follows from Borel density theorem that $Z_G\Gamma$ is discrete in G, see [21, Corollary 5.17]. So $Z_G\Gamma$ is a lattice of G and hence $Z_G/(Z_G \cap \Gamma)$ is a finite group. Since Z_G is the kernel of $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to GL(\mathfrak{g})$, by possibly replacing G by $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ and Γ by $\mathrm{Ad}(\Gamma)$ we assume without loss of generality that the center of G is trivail. There are closed normal subgroups G_i' $(0 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ and lattices Γ_i' in G_i' with the following properties: the multiplication map $\prod_{i=0}^k G_i' \to G$ is an isomorphism; G_i' $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ are real rank one semisimple Lie groups; Γ_0' is an arithmetic lattice of G_0' and Γ is commensurable with the image of $\prod_{i=0}^k \Gamma_i'$. Here Γ_0' is an arithmetic lattice means that there is an injective continuous homomorphism $\rho_0 : G_0' \to SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho_0(\Gamma_0')/\rho_0(\Gamma_0') \cap SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is finite. It can be verified easily that for $G_i = G_i', \Gamma_i = \Gamma_i'$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ the map $\rho : G \to \prod_{i=0}^k G_i$ which sends $g_0 \cdots g_k$ where $g_i \in G_i'$ to $\rho_0(g_0) \times \prod_{i=1}^k g_i$ satisfies the requirement of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let G_0 be a Lie group, Γ_0 be a lattice of G_0 and $Y = G_0/\Gamma_0$. Let $\rho : G \to G_0$ be a continuous homomorphism such that $\ker\rho = Z_G G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ and $\rho(\Gamma)/\rho(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma_0$ is finite. Suppose there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any compact subset L of Y, any $r, \varepsilon > 0$ and any $a \in \overline{A_U^+}$,*

$y \in L$

$$\mu_U(\{h \in B_r^U : \rho(ah)y \notin \mathrm{Inj}_\varepsilon^Y\}) \ll_{L,r} \varepsilon^\delta, \tag{3.11}$$

then Theorem 1.3 holds.

Proof. Let $\ell \geqslant 1$ such that for all $t \geqslant 0, g \in B_t^G \cap G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ and $g_c \in G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ one has $g_c g g_c^{-1} \in B_{\ell t}^G$. By possibly enlarging ℓ we assume that $d_{G_0}(\rho(g_1), \rho(g_2)) \leqslant \ell \cdot d_G(g_1, g_2)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in B_1^G$. Let n be the cardinality of $\rho(\Gamma)/\rho(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma_0$. We choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small such that $n\varepsilon_0 < 1$, $B_{2n\varepsilon_0}^G \cap g_c \Gamma g_c^{-1} = \{1_G\}$ for all $g_c \in G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$, $B_{2\varepsilon_0}^G$ has no nontrivial element with order less than or equal to n.

We claim that for $\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ and $g \in G$ if $I(\rho(g)\Gamma_0, G_0/\Gamma_0) > 2n\ell\varepsilon$, then $I(g\Gamma, X) > \varepsilon$. Theorem 1.3 will follow from the claim and the assumption of the lemma. Now we prove the claim. Suppose $g_1, g_2 \in B_\varepsilon^G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $g_1 g \gamma = g_2 g$, then

$$\gamma = g^{-1} g_1^{-1} g_2 g \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\gamma)^n = \rho(g^{-1}(g_1^{-1}g_2)^n g) \in \rho(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma_0.$$

Since $\rho(g_1^{-1}g_2)^n \in B_{2n\ell\varepsilon}^{G_0}$ and $I(\rho(g)\Gamma_0, G_0/\Gamma_0) > 2n\ell\varepsilon$ one has

$$g^{-1}(g_1^{-1}g_2)^n g \in \ker \rho.$$

Since $\ker \rho = Z_G G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ there exists $g_c \in G^{\mathrm{cpt}}$ such that $(g_1^{-1}g_2)^n \in \ker \rho \cap g_c \Gamma g_c^{-1}$. Since $B_{2n\varepsilon_0}^G \cap g_c \Gamma g_c^{-1} = \{1_G\}$ and $\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ one has $(g_1^{-1}g_2)^n = 1_G$. Moreover, we have $g_1^{-1}g_2 = 1_G$ since $B_{2\varepsilon_0}^G$ has no nontrivial elements with order less than or equal to n. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let ρ and $G_i, \Gamma_i (0 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ be as in Lemma 3.6. According to Lemma 3.7 it suffices to verify (3.11) for every $\rho_i : G \to G_i$ where ρ_i is the composition of ρ with the natural projection map. For $i = 0$ this follows from Lemma 3.2 and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ this follows from Lemma 3.3. □

4. Effective k-equidistribution

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.5. Roughly speaking the ingredient of the proof are effective mixing, thickening, chopping and quantitative nonescape of mass. As in §1.4, Γ is lattice of a connected semisimple Lie group G, H is a normal subgroup of G, $X = G/\Gamma$, μ_X is the probability Haar measure on X and the unitary representation of H on $L_0^2(X)$ has a spectral gap.

4.1. **Notation and Background material.** Recall that $\{b_t\}$ is a one parameter subgroup of A such that the projection of $b = b_1$ to each simple factor of H is not the identity element and $H_b^+ \leqslant U$. Since H is a normal subgroup of G and $b \in A \leqslant H$ we have $G_b^+ = H_b^+ \leqslant U$.

To simplify the notation we write $G^+ = G_b^+$. The contracting and centralizing subgroups of b in G are defined as

$$G^- = G_b^- = \{g \in G : b^n g b^{-n} \to 1_G \quad \text{as } n \to \infty\} \leqslant H;$$
$$G^c = G_b^c = \{g \in G : bg = gb\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^+, \mathfrak{g}^-, \mathfrak{g}^c$ be the Lie algebras of G, G^+, G^-, G^c respectively.

Lemma 4.1. *The multiplication map $G^- \times G^c \times G^+ \to G$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset with full Haar measure.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{Ad}(G^+), \mathrm{Ad}(G^-), \mathrm{Ad}(G^c)$ are the horospherical and centralizing subgroups of $\mathrm{Ad}(b)$. Moreover there exists $a \in A$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(a)$ is of class $\mathscr{A}$ in the sense of [19, Definition 2.1] and $\mathrm{Ad}(a)$ has the same horospherical subgroups as $\mathrm{Ad}(b)$. It follows from [19, Proposition 2.7] that the Lemma holds for $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(b)$. The conclusion for G follows from the observation that Ad is a covering map and the kernel is contained in G^c. □

To simplify the notation we take $G^{-c} = G^- G^c$. In this section all the integrals are with respect to fixed volume measures, so if μ is the measure associated to Y we sometimes use $\int_Y \psi$ to denote $\int_Y \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mu$ and $\mathrm{d}y$ to denote $\mathrm{d}\mu(y)$. Moreover, we assume the fundamental domain of Γ in G has measure 1 and for every $\psi \in L^1(G)$ one has

$$\int_G \psi \, \mathrm{d}\mu_G = \int_{G^+} \int_{G^c} \int_{G^-} \psi(g^- g^c g^+) \Delta(g^c) \, \mathrm{d}g^- \, \mathrm{d}g^c \, \mathrm{d}g^+ \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Delta(g^c) = |\det(\mathrm{Ad}\,(g^c)|_{\mathfrak{g}^+})|$.

We identify the Lie algebra of a Lie group with the vector space of right invariant vector fields. We fix a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the intersections of $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mathfrak{g}^-, \mathfrak{g}^c$ and $\mathfrak{g}^+$ are the basis of the corresponding subalgebras. In what follows we use $\|\cdot\|_\ell$ where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ for the usual $(2, \ell)$-Sobolev norm on any of G, X, G^-, G^c, G^+ defined by corresponding basis in $\mathcal{B}$. For example, suppose $\psi \in C^\infty(X)$ then

$$\|\psi\|_\ell = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant \ell} \|\partial^\alpha \psi\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

We define

$$W^{2,\infty}(X) = \{\psi \in C^\infty(X) : \|\psi\|_\ell < \infty \ \forall \ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\}.$$

It is easy to see that C_c^∞ and constant functions are in $W^{2,\infty}(X)$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the inner product of the Hilbert space $L^2(X)$ and let $g\psi$ ($g \in G$ and $\psi \in L^2(X)$) be the function $\psi(g^{-1}\cdot)$ on X.

Lemma 4.2. *There exist $\delta_0, E_0 > 0$ and $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on $G, \Gamma, \{b_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ such that for any two functions $\varphi, \psi \in W^{2,\infty}(X)$ one has*

$$\left| \langle b_t \varphi, \psi \rangle - \int_X \varphi \int_X \psi \right| \leqslant E_0 \|\varphi\|_{\ell_0} \|\psi\|_{\ell_0} \cdot e^{-\delta_0 |t|}.$$

Proof. Let Z be the center of G. The group $Z/(Z \cap \Gamma)$ is finite, see the proof of Lemma 3.6. Therefore by possibly passing to $G/(Z \cap \Gamma)$ we assume without loss of generality that G has finite center. Recall that we assume the projection of b_1 to each simple factor of H is nontrivial. So the lemma follows from [8, §6.2.2]. □

4.2. **Effective equidistribution for $\{b_t\}$ translates.** Recall that the metric d_G on G is induced from right invariant Riemannian structure. For $x \in X$ we let $\pi_x : G \to X$ be the map defined by $\pi_x(g) = gx$. We first generalize [14, Theorem 2.3]. For $\psi \in C^\infty(X)$ let

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} = \sup_{x,y \in X, x \neq y} \frac{|\psi(x) - \psi(y)|}{d_X(x,y)}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\theta \in C_c^\infty(G^+), 0 < r < 1$, $m = \dim G^{-0}$, $x \in X$ and δ_0, ℓ_0 be as in Lemma 4.2. Assume*

(i) $\operatorname{supp} \theta \subset B_r^{G^+}$;
(ii) π_x *is injective on* B_{2r}^G.

Then for any $\psi \in W^{2,\infty}(X)$ with $\int_X \psi = 0$ and $\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} < \infty$ there exists $E_\psi > 0$ such that for any $t \geqslant 0$ one has

$$|I_\theta(x; b_t; \psi)| \leqslant E_\psi \left(r^{-\ell_0 - \frac{m}{2}} \|\theta\|_{\ell_0} e^{-t\delta_0} + r \int_{G^+} |\theta| \right). \tag{4.2}$$

The proof of this lemma is the same as that of [14, Theorem 2.3] and we give details here for completeness. During the proof we will need

Lemma 4.4. *Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.3. There is a non-negative function $\widetilde{\theta} : G^{-0} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\operatorname{supp} \widetilde{\theta} \subset B_r^{G^{-0}}, \quad \int_{G^{-0}} \widetilde{\theta} = 1, \quad \text{and } \|\widetilde{\theta}\|_\ell \ll_\ell r^{-\ell - \frac{m}{2}} \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}.$$

Moreover, the function $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi(g^- g^c g x) = \widetilde{\theta}(g^- g^c) \Delta(g^c)^{-1} \theta(g)$$

for elements in $B_r^{G^{-0}} B_r^{G^+} x$ and zero otherwise is a compactly supported smooth function on X with

$$\|\varphi\|_\ell \ll_\ell r^{-\ell - \frac{m}{2}} \|\theta\|_\ell \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}. \tag{4.3}$$

Remark: Besides ℓ the implied constants in this lemma also depend on the space X, the choice of basis $\mathcal{B}$ and the metric on G, which we do not specify since we consider them as given by G.

Proof. This lemma follows from [12, Lemma 2.4.7] and assumption (i) (ii) of Lemma 4.3. □

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let $\widetilde{\theta}$ and φ be as in Lemma 4.4. In view of (4.1) for all $t \geqslant 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|I_\theta(x; b_t; \psi) - \langle \varphi, b_{-t}\psi\rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{G^+} \theta(g)\psi(b_t g x)\, \mathrm{d}g - \int_G \widetilde{\theta}(g^- g^c)\Delta(g^c)^{-1}\theta(g)\psi(b_t g^- g^c g x)\, \mathrm{d}(g^- g^c g) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{G^+} \theta(g)\psi(b_t g x) - \psi(b_t g^- g^c g x)\, \mathrm{d}g \right| \\
&\leqslant \int_{G^+} \theta(g) \left|\psi(b_t g x) - \psi(b_t g^- g^c b_{-t} \cdot b_t g x)\right|\, \mathrm{d}(g) \\
&\ll \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} \cdot r \cdot \int_{G^+} |\theta|.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by Lemma 4.2 and (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \varphi, g_{-t}\psi\rangle| &\ll \|\varphi\|_{\ell_0}\|\psi\|_{\ell_0} e^{-t\delta_0} \\
&\ll r^{-\ell_0 - \frac{m}{2}} e^{-t\delta_0} \|\psi\|_{\ell_0}\|\theta\|_{\ell_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

So (4.2) follows from triangle inequality and above two estimates. □

4.3. **Proof of Effective k-equidistribution.** Recall that the following data is fixed: $G, H, \Gamma, A, U, \{b_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, b = b_1$. As in §4.1 we take G^+, G^c, G^- to be the unstable horospherical, central and stable horospherical subgroups of b in G. We fix $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that Lemma 4.2 holds. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \geqslant \ell_0 + \dim G$. We choose δ_1 with $0 < \delta_1 \leqslant 1$ such that Theorem 1.3 holds for $\delta = \delta_1$. We will show that Theorem 1.5 holds for

$$\delta = \frac{\delta_0\delta_1}{3\ell + \delta_1}, \tag{4.4}$$

which (in view of the dependence of δ_0, δ_1 and ℓ) depends on H, X and $\{b_t\}$.

Let

$$M_0 = 1 + 2\sup_{h\in U} |f(h)| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sup_{x\in X} |\psi_i(x)|.$$

Since (1.9) holds for $M = M_0$ if $\lfloor \mathbf{a} \rfloor = 0$, we assume in the rest of the proof that $\lfloor \mathbf{a} \rfloor = t > 0$. Let

$$r = e^{\frac{-t\delta_0}{3\ell+\delta_1}} < 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Similar to Lemma 4.4, there exists a smooth function $\theta : G^+ \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ with $\operatorname{supp}\theta \subset B_r^{G^+}$, $\int_{G^+} \theta = 1$ and $\|\theta\|_\ell \ll r^{-2\ell}$. It follows that

$$I_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}; \Psi) = \int_{G^+} \theta(g) \int_U f(h) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i) \, \mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}g. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $\lfloor a_i \rfloor > 0$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$, the conjugation of a_i on G^+ is expanding. Let $a_k' = b_{-t} a_k$. One has $\alpha(a_k') \geqslant 1$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{g}^+)$ according to the definition of $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ in (1.8).

For every $g \in G^+$ and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$ we let

$$g_i = (a_k' a_{k-1} \cdots a_{i+1})^{-1} g \, (a_k' a_{k-1} \cdots a_{i+1}) \in G^+.$$

Since the conjugation of $(a_k')^{-1}$ and a_i^{-1} contracts G^+ we have

$$d_G(1_G, g_i) \ll d_{G^+}(1_G, g_i) \ll d_{G^+}(1_G, g) < r \quad \forall g \in \operatorname{supp}\theta \subset G^+. \tag{4.7}$$

Making change of variables in (4.6) by $h \to g_0 h$, one has

(4.8)

$$I_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}; \Psi)$$
$$= \int_{G^+} \theta(g) \int_U f(g_0 h) \psi_k(b_t g a_k' a_{k-1} \cdots a_1 h x_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_i(g_i a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i) \, \mathrm{d}h \, \mathrm{d}g.$$

It follows from (4.7) that

$$|f(g_0 h) - f(h)| \ll_f r$$
$$|\psi_i(g_i a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i) - \psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i)| \ll_{\psi_i} r \quad \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1.$$

Replacing $f(g_0 h)$ by $f(h)$ and $\psi_i(g_i a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i)$ by $\psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i)$ in the integrand of (4.8) one has

$$\begin{aligned} &I_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}; \Psi) + O_{f,\Psi}(r) \\ &= \int_U f(h) \varphi(h) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i) \, \mathrm{d}h \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\varphi(h) = \int_{G^+} \psi_k(b_t g a_k' a_{k-1} \cdots a_1 h x_k) \, \theta(g) \, \mathrm{d}g.$$

We choose $r_0 > 0$ so that $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B^U_{r_0}$. Since r_0 is determined by f, any constant depending on r_0 in fact depends on f. We take

$$B_1 = \{h \in B^U_{r_0} : a'_k a_{k-1} \cdots a_1 h x_k \in \operatorname{Inj}^X_{3r}\}$$
$$B_2 = B^U_{r_0} \backslash B_1.$$

Since $a_i \in A^+_U$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$ and $a'_k \in \overline{A^+_U}$ according to the assumption, one has $a'_k a_{k-1} \cdots a_1 \in \overline{A^+_U}$. Theorem 1.3 implies

$$\mu_U(B_2) \ll_{L,f} r^{\delta_1}. \tag{4.10}$$

For $h \in B_1$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 and the properties of θ that

$$\left|\varphi(h) - \int_X \psi_k\right| \ll_{\psi_k} r^{-3\ell} e^{-t\delta_0} + r. \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore by (4.9) and (4.10)

$$\begin{aligned} &I_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}; \Psi) + O_{f,\Psi}(r) \\ &= \left(\int_{B_1} + \int_{B_2}\right) f(g)\varphi(h) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i)\, \mathrm{d}g \\ &= \int_{B_1} f(h)\varphi(h) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i)\, \mathrm{d}h + O_{L,f,\Psi}(r^{\delta_1}). \end{aligned}$$

The above estimate, (4.11) and the choice of $\delta_1 \leqslant 1$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} &I_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}; \Psi) + O_{L,f,\Psi}(r^{\delta_1} + r^{-3\ell} e^{-t\delta_0}) \\ &= \int_X \psi_k \cdot \int_U f(h) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i)\, \mathrm{d}h. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the choice of δ in (4.4) and r in (4.5) one has

$$I_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}; \Psi) = \int_X \psi_k \cdot \int_U f(h) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_i(a_i \cdots a_1 h x_i)\, \mathrm{d}h + O_{L,f,\Psi}(e^{-t\delta}). \tag{4.12}$$

Note that δ is indepedent of k and (4.12) holds for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We use (4.12) for $k, k-1, \ldots, 1$ where $k = 1$ becomes

$$\int_X \psi_1 \cdot \int_U f(h) + O_{L,f,\Psi}(e^{-t\delta}).$$

Then we get

$$I_f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{a}; \Psi) = \int_U f \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} \int_X \psi_i + O_{L,f,\Psi,k}(e^{-t\delta}).$$

References

[1] Y. Benoist and J-F Quint, *Random walks on finite volume homogeneous spaces*, Invent. math. **187** (2012), no. 1, 37-59.

[2] V. Bernik, D. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, *Khintchine type theorems on manifolds: The convergence case for standard multiplicative versions*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 9, 453-486.

[3] F. Bien and A. Borel, *Sous-groupes épimorphiques de groupes linéaires algébriques I*, (French) [Epimorphic subgroups of linear algebraic groups. I] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **315** (1992), no. 6, 649-653.

[4] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **126**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[5] D. Buenger and C. Zheng, *Non-Divergence of Unipotent Flows on Quotients of Rank One Semisimple Groups*, preprint.

[6] L. Corwin and F. Greenleaf, *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications, Part I: Basic theory and examples*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **18**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[7] T. Das, L. Fishman, D. Simmons and M. Urbanski, *Extremality and dynamically defined measures, part I*, preprint.

[8] M. Einsiedler, G. A. Margulis and A. Venkatesh, *Effective equidistribution for closed orbits of semisimple groups on homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), no. 1, 137-212.

[9] H. Garland and M. S. Raghunathan, *Fundamental domains for lattices in (R-)rank 1 semisimple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **92** (1970), 279-326.

[10] D. Y. Kleinbock, *An extension of quantitative nondivergence and applications to Diophantine exponents*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 12, 6497-6523.

[11] D. Y. Kleinbock, E. Lindenstrauss and B. Weiss, *On fractal measures and diophantine approximation*, Selecta Math. **10** (2004), no. 4, 479-523.

[12] D. Y. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, *Bounded orbits of nonquasiunipotent fows on homogeneous spaces*, Sinai's Moscow Seminar on Dynamical Systems, 141-172, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **171**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[13] D. Kleinbock, G. A. Margulis, *Flows on homogeneous spaces and Diophantine approximation on manifolds*, Ann. Math. **148** (1998), no. 1, 339-360.

[14] D. Y. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, *On effective equidistribution of expanding translates of certain orbits in the space of lattices*, Number theory, analysis and geometry, 385-396, Springer, New York, 2012.

[15] D. Kleinbock, R. Shi and B. Weiss, *Pointwise equidistribution with an error rate and with respect to unbounded functions*, preprint.

[16] D. Kleinbock and B. Weiss, *Dirichlet's theorem on Diophantine approximation and homogeneous flows*, J. Mod. Dyn. **2** (2008), no. 1, 43-62.

[17] D. Kleinbock and B. Weiss, *Modified Schmidt games and a conjecture of Margulis*, J. Mod. Dyn. **7** (2013), no. 3, 429-460.

[18] A. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, 2nd Edition, Birkhauser.

[19] G. A. Margulis and G. M. Tomanov, *Invariant measures for actions of unipotent groups over local fields on homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **116** (1994), no. 1-3, 347-392.

[20] A. Mohammadi and A. Salehi Golsefidy, *Translates of horospheres and counting problems*, American J. Math. **136** (2014), no. 5, 1301-1346.
[21] M. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer, 1972.
[22] M. Ratner, *Raghunathan's topological conjecture and distributions of unipotent flows*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 1, 235-280.
[23] N. A. Shah, *Limit distributions of expanding translates of certain orbits on homogeneous spaces*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **106** (1996), no. 2, 105-125.
[24] N. A. Shah, *Equidistribution of expanding translates of curves and Dirichlet's theorem on Diophantine approximation*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), no. 3, 509-532.
[25] N. A. Shah, *Expanding translates of curves and Dirichlet-Minkowski theorem on linear forms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 563-589.
[26] N. A. Shah and B. Weiss, *On actions of epimorphic subgroups on homogeneous spaces*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **16** (1996), 1-28.
[27] R. Shi, *Pointwise equidistribution for one parameter diagonalizable group action on homogeneous space*, preprint.
[28] G. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **152**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, PR China

E-mail address: ronggang@xmu.edu.cn